SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO EXTERNAL EXAMINERS' COMMENTS, 2012/2013Course MVetMed

Examiner Comment	RVC Response (Please remember to directly quote (copy and paste) our regulations/procedures e.g. from the intranet http://intranet.rvc.ac.uk/StudentsAndTeaching/RegsAndProcs.cfm)	Actions
Neil Hudson & Angus Anderson		RVC list of actions for 2013-14
As mentioned in last year's report and the Board meeting we reiterate the need for standard declarations from the candidates regarding details of who did what in the projects and the level of support from others. Also, an indication of which piece of work is being submitted to be examined.	A Project Declaration form has been created to be completed by the Senior Clinical Training Scholar and submitted with their project. This form and Guidelines for the MVetMed Research Project are available in the MVetMed area of the RVC VLE 'Learn'.	Insert Actions & Deadline (if any) and Individual Responsible: MVetMed candidates to complete new project declaration form and submit with their project.
The resit guidelines for the research project as discussed at the board need clarification e.g <50% as the threshold rather than 40%. It is useful to see all the marks and comments given by internal examiners; this will facilitate our QA of the assessment process.	The threshold score has been corrected and now reads 50%. We will ensure that marks and comments given by internal examiners are provided to External Examiners.	Insert Actions & Deadline (if any) and Individual Responsible: Exams office to provide Internal examiners' mark sheet and comments to External examiners in 2013-14.

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO EXTERNAL EXAMINERS' COMMENTS, 2012/2013Course MVetMed

FOR COMPLETION AFTER THE EXAMINATION

THE ROYAL VETERINARY COLLEGE EXTERNAL EXAMINER'S REPORT

2. Candidates

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

- 2.1 impressions of candidates' specific areas of strength and weakness, as revealed by the assessment process
- the quality of candidates' knowledge and skills, with particular reference to those at the top, middle or bottom of the range
- 2.3 the candidates' overall performance in relation to students at a similar stage on comparable courses in other institutions, where this is known to you

Type here

- Again, broadly the candidates performed very well in the programme, with 10 merits and 1 distinction in a cohort of 4. Congratulations to candidates and supervisors.
- The candidates' strengths again are higher in the B modules compared to the A modules. As commented last year, this is not a criticism, but merely reflects the elective nature of the B modules.
- The overall standard of projects was very good, many of which were at merit level or higher. Again, a good number of published papers.
- Candidate performance as iterated is at least as good as, if not better, than other institutions. This formal accreditation of the Residency programme can be example to other institutions.

3. Assessment Process

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

- 3.1 the appropriateness of the assessment methods to the subject matter and their relevance to the learning objectives
- 3.2 the extent to which the assessment processes are rigorous
- 3.2 whether the assessments reflected the syllabus adequately
- 3.3 the overall standard of marks
- 3.4 any changes from previous years in which you have examined

Type here

We were happy that the assessment process is rigorous and robust. The overall standard of marks reflected accurately the quality of the candidates.

As mentioned in last year's report and the Board meeting we reiterate the need for standard declarations from the candidates regarding details of who did what in the projects and the level of support from others. Also, an indication of which piece of work is being submitted to be examined.

The resit guidelines for the research project as discussed at the board need clarification e.g <50% as the threshold rather than 40%. It is useful to see

Prepared by: Ana Filipovic

Date: 13 August 2013 3 of 5

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO EXTERNAL EXAMINERS' COMMENTS, 201

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO EXTERNAL EXAMINERS' COMMENTS, 2012/2013Course MVetMed

5.9 The processes for assessment, examination and the determination of awards are sound and fairly conducted

YES

If you have replied No to any of these questions, please comment more fully:

Type here

Thank you, our comments have largely been addressed, but as discussed at the Board there are some measures we have suggested again that we hope will facilitate the exam process.

If you have identified any areas of good practny@as)-2(e c)-2(or)4.@m)-@ent)4.3()11.3(m)-o(ur)-@)10.6f)-@ol